

**University of Western Ontario
Department of Political Science
Fall/Winter 2011-2012
Political Science 3333E: Canadian Foreign Policy**

Lecturer: Dan Bousfield

E-Mail: dbousfie@uwo.ca

Academic Facebook account: [facebook.com/dan.bousfield](https://www.facebook.com/dan.bousfield)

Academic Google+ account: [dbousfie@uwo.ca](https://plus.google.com/+dbousfie@uwo.ca)

Academic Twitter account: [dbousfie](https://twitter.com/dbousfie)

Skype: dan.bousfield

Office: SSC 4084

Office Hours: Monday 1:30-2:30, Wednesday 12:30-1:20, 3:30-5:30, every other Friday 10-12

Class: Mondays 2:30 – 4:30

This course will help you critically assess Canadian Foreign Policy and explain the changes in policy over time. We will emphasize the different actors in the creation of foreign policy, as well as specific policies, their implantation and outcomes. We will address a range of perspectives and debates about the creation of foreign policy and address issues such as human security, trade relations, war, sovereignty and immigration.

Objectives:

This course has three main objectives. First, this course is designed to outline and allow you to assess the perspectives and issues that shape the context and creation of Canadian Foreign Policy. This will provide a foundation for any future interests in Canadian and International Relations and establish a foundation for future research in areas and topics that are of interest to you. Second, the assignments in this course are designed to help you develop your critical thinking in ways that relate to specific issues and events in Canadian Foreign Policy. While we will cover a range of debates and issues, you need to decide which you find most interesting and which you will focus on in your work. Third, by the conclusion of this course you should be able to provide a critical and original argument about Canadian Foreign Policy. Your ability to accomplish these objectives will be assessed using the assignments and work outlined below.

Recommended Texts (Choose At Least One):

Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel and Stephane Paquin. *International Policy and Politics in Canada*. Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011.

Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha. *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*. Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011.

J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie. *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*. Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010.

Readings:

All other readings are available on-line, on 2-hour reserve, or in the periodical section at the Weldon Library.

Evaluation:

Seminar Participation - 20%

First Term Assignment – 5%

Essay - 15%

Midterm Exam - 15%
Second Term Assignment – 5%
Policy Analysis - 15%
Final Exam – 15%

Attendance and Participation: 20% - Ongoing

The success of the seminar depends on the willingness of students to keep up with the readings on a weekly basis and to take part fully and fruitfully in the discussion. Participation marks are composed of both attendance and participation grades. You cannot receive full marks in either category if you are missing one or the other. Your participation will be assessed on an ongoing basis throughout the semester, and progress can be obtained at any time from the instructor upon request. Proposals for make-up work to offset absences may be considered.

First Term Assignment – 5% (sign up on 3333e.wikispaces.com)

Students have the option of developing an assignment on a topic or area of interest in Canadian Foreign Policy. Options include:

- a) An essay proposal. Students will create a 1000 word summary, excluding references (250 words per page) of the proposed topic for the research essay that highlights the thesis of the research, the perspective on Canadian Foreign Policy adopted and the area of interest for the paper. The proposal must be in proper formal essay format (no bullet points, short form or colloquial language), use proper citation and should NOT include any form of annotated bibliography. A basic structure for the assignment would include 1) Title, topic and thesis question or area of interest for the research proposal. 2) Possible perspectives used to study the thesis (such as realist, liberal, feminist, Marxist, constructivist) and why the one chosen perspective is MOST appropriate. 3) A summary of key points likely to structure the research essay. These should outline key ideas, concepts, people or places, but express clear analytical thinking. Simple lists or unfocused summaries are not likely to receive high marks. 4) Likely resources to draw upon for the research paper. This should not be a summary, but examine common themes in potential sources, as well as explaining clearly the clusters of sources you have found to this point. This may include non-academic sources, but there must be a minimum of 4 academic sources (at least one per theme). 5) A concluding statement of the usefulness or goals of the research and why the topic chosen is relevant to Canadian Foreign Policy. The essay proposal must be handed in before October 31 (preferable sooner), and you must sign up for this option on the wiki site.
- b) 5 individual response/reaction papers from the week's readings. These must be handed in on separate weeks and should reflect and engage with some of the assigned reading material for the week. The length of each paper should be between 250-500 words and must be handed in paper form. Electronic submission will not be accepted. The name, student number and date must be on the submission.
- c) A paired presentation debating a topic or issue from the week's readings. The presentation should be no longer than 10 minutes and can be done in class or prepared on video beforehand and shown to the class during class time. The date of the presentation must be set on the wiki site at least 2 weeks before presentation.
- d) A creative skit or presentation with a maximum of 2 other classmates. The skit will be no more than 10 minutes and will address the week's topic. The date of the skit must be set on the wiki site at least 2 weeks before presentation.
- e) Prepared group work based on the week's readings with a partner. A maximum of two students will prepare a short 10-15 minute exercise to be done at the end of the lecture for the class. The exercise will be interactive, but must be confirmed and approved with the instructor at least one week prior to the start of class. NO presentations without previous approval will be allowed. The date of the group work must be set on the wiki site at least 2 weeks before presentation.

- f) Prepare a short video clip to be presented to the class that is both relevant and provocative of the week's reading.¹ The clip must be supported by at least 5 well thought out discussion questions or a written discussion of the relation between the video topic and the week's theme. . The date of the video presentation must be set on the wiki site at least 2 weeks before presentation.
- g) A media assessment assignment. This assignment will critically engage with a movie or documentary that deals with Canadian Foreign Policy. All media must have sufficient levels of Canadian Foreign Policy content to warrant its use AND have prior approval of the instructor. Students must be signed up on the wiki site at least 2 weeks before it is handed in. The media assessment must critically engage with the content of the movie for its use in understanding Canadian Foreign Policy, as well as base arguments in academic research and debates. You should assess the media for its arguments about Canadian Foreign Policy, and the viability of the arguments given the academic debates on the issue. The assignment should be a minimum of 1250 words, in proper essay format (with title page and bibliography) and have a minimum of 4 academic sources.
- h) Post essay assignment. This assignment is a reflection on your essay's strengths and weaknesses, as well as changes and surprises that you encountered in the writing process. Students must hand in their essays by November 22 to be eligible for this assignment. The assignment should use the format of the essay proposal (see above) but does not need to be more than 1000 words. The Post-Essay assignment should suggest ways that the research would be improved as well as issues with sources and topics and theories. The choice of this option must be signed up for on the wiki spaces site, and cannot be chose if the essay is not submitted by November 22.
- i) A proposed alternative that engages with the material, or engages the class on the week's material, or demonstrates a clear perspective about a issue or topic in Canadian Foreign Policy (subject to the instructors approval). The date of any alternative option must be set on the wiki site at least 2 weeks before its presentation to the class.

Essay: 15% - Due November 22

Students will prepare an essay of 2500 to 3000 words in length (excluding bibliography - anything beyond 3000 words will not be marked) that presents a clear and detailed argument about a Canadian Foreign Policy, issue, event or actor. Students will select a specific issue/event or debate that deals with Canadian Foreign Policy and develop their argument using academic sources. Students should have a clear thesis advocating their approach for its greater analytic/explanatory value. (See 'Criteria for Evaluation of Written Assignments' below). Please note that papers must be submitted in hard copy in class and cannot be accepted electronically or by fax. Late papers will be penalized 5% per weekday.

Policy Analysis: 15%

Students will choose a current or past Canadian Foreign Policy, and critically assess the policy for key actors, context, assumptions and implications. This is a eight page (2000 word + bibliography) assignment that should provide a clear analysis and perspective of the foreign policy, based on government documents or publicly available information. This assignment should not repeat the information from your essay or proposal from the first semester, but can be on the same topic or issue. You can draw on the previous analysis, but you must provide different, opposing or alternative viewpoint if you choose to study the same issue. A sample of the format requirements may be given to students to follow.

¹ All video clips must be preapproved by the instructor prior to any display in the classroom. No clip should contain disturbing or offensive material or make anyone in the class feel uncomfortable or unwelcome. The instructor reserves the right to reject any material, on any grounds at any time at the instructor's discretion. The classroom is a space for the free exchange of ideas, and any material that impedes the ability of anyone to fully and comfortably participate will be excluded.

Second Term Assignment: 5%

This assignment will follow the format of the first term assignment, and can be any of the options outlined above except for the essay proposal and the post-essay assignment.

Midterm Exam: 15%

The midterm exam will be based on the material covered in lectures, assigned readings, and discussions throughout the whole of the course. The exam may include both a short answer/identification component and questions requiring longer, essay-style responses. Choice of answers and an exam preparation guide may be given.

Final Exam: 15%

The final exam will be cumulative (i.e., will be based on the material covered in lectures, assigned readings, and discussions throughout the whole of the course). The exam may include both a short answer/identification component and questions requiring longer, essay-style responses. Choice of answers and an exam preparation guide may be given.

Guidelines for Success in Class

Since there seldom are definitive answers to the questions we will be asking about Canadian Foreign Policy, and much of what you will learn in this course will be enhanced by the ideas and perspectives of your colleagues. But for this to work, everyone must participate fully and constructively. Be mindful of the following points, and you will be successful:

- Come to all classes having read the assigned readings for that week and prepared to participate in discussion. It is useful to remember that some week's readings may be more relevant to your research interests than others, and focusing on readings that are most salient to your interests will ensure maximum usefulness in the course.
- Participate in discussions, but do not over-participate. Everyone must be given an opportunity to take part in discussions. Constructive participation involves the raising of *relevant* points and ideas. Online participation will be considered as well in participation marks.
- Demonstrate respect for the ideas presented by others at all times. This is essential to open discussion and the free exchange of ideas. This does not mean that you must agree with others. Informal debate will teach you even more about your own position while simultaneously exposing you to different viewpoints. Make use of such opportunities, but no disrespectful behavior will be tolerated.
- Raise questions when you have them. Raising useful questions counts as participation. You can use minute papers, online forums, e-mail, facebook or in class lecture to raise questions you encounter throughout the course. Uncertainties are usually shared by others – when you raise your questions in class everyone learns while you build your own participation grade.

Criteria for Evaluation of Written Assignments

These criteria will be used in evaluation of written work and possibly in combination with the checklist below. Please be sure to read them carefully:

Analytical Content: Higher grades will be given to work that demonstrates the ability to interpret, critically assess and develop insights of the material. To determine whether or not your argument is analytical, ask yourself "Am I demonstrating to the reader my insights in an academic way?" If you are simply summarizing or describing in detail phenomena, your work is unlikely to have high analytical content.

Helpful signs you are not developing analytical content: Excessive quotes; beginning or ending a

paragraph with a quote; short (fewer than 4 sentences) paragraphs; no sources in a long paragraph; lack of similar argument in introduction and conclusion.

Development of an Argument: Higher grades will be given to work that has a clearly stated argument and a set of logically developed and reasonably comprehensive points in support of that argument. Academic arguments need not be personal (though in certain instances they can be – check with the instructor), rather they demonstrate the logical progression of the position you are developing. The key here is to attempt to convince your reader of the soundness or feasibility of your argument. Nuanced arguments recognize obvious criticisms and seek to address them logically. Consistency of an argument throughout a paper is important.

Helpful signs your argument may be in trouble: Using the same author or quote more than a few times in successive paragraphs; your introduction and conclusion are not similar; you introduce material in the introduction and the conclusion that cannot be found elsewhere; you have quotes in your conclusion; your attempt to address obvious criticisms contradicts your thesis, you adopt multiple theoretical frameworks; you cannot find any sources that agree with your central claims..

Grammar, Spelling, and Style: Higher grades will be given to written work that is grammatically correct and is clearly and accurately written, while lower grades will be given to work that is difficult to read or understand due to excessive grammatical and/or spelling errors.

While different approaches work for different people, it is recommended that you try the following every time you have a written assignment: after completing your assignment, put it away for a while (ideally, for a few days); when you pick it up again, read it carefully, slowly, and aloud (when we are familiar with a paper we tend to skim it during proof-reading, thereby missing errors – so make sure you are reading it word for word). Mistakes in grammar may not always look wrong, but they usually sound wrong. If you need some help with writing style or grammar, there are many resources available on campus.

Meeting the Requirements of the Assignment: All written work must be submitted on time, must be of the appropriate length, must use the required number and type of resources, and, most importantly, must address the issues or questions posed in the assignment.

Important Notices

General

All students must complete all course requirements. Failure to do so (e.g., by not handing-in an assignment or by missing an examination without due cause) will subject the student to the appropriate University regulations. Students must also keep a duplicate copy of their assignments.

Plagiarism

Students must also note that it is a serious academic offense to hand in the same assignment to two or more courses or to pass off another person's work as their own (i.e., plagiarism). The University of Western Ontario "Handbook of Academic and Scholarship Policy" defines plagiarism as follows:

Students must write their essays and assignments in their own words. Whenever students take an idea, or a passage from another author, they must acknowledge their debt both by using quotation marks where appropriate and by proper referencing such as footnotes or citations. Plagiarism is a major academic offence (see Scholastic Offence Policy in the Western Academic Calendar).

At the discretion of the instructor, students may be required: (i) to pass a brief oral examination on their assignment before a final grade is assigned and/or (ii) provide an electronic copy of their assignment so that their work can be checked using plagiarism-checking software (e.g., Turnitin.com). As stated in the University of Western Ontario "Handbook of Academic and Scholarship Policy:"

All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (<http://www.turnitin.com>).

Examinations

The Christmas and final course examinations will be held during the regular examination periods. No substitute examinations will be given; therefore students should not make their holiday travel plans until they know their examination schedules.

Term 1

1. Sept. 12 - Intro and Outline
2. Sept. 19 — Theories and Overviews of Canadian Foreign Policy
3. Sept. 27 – Topic to be chosen in first two weeks
4. Oct. 3 — Gender and Class in Canadian Foreign Policy
5. Oct. 17 - Topic to be chosen in first two weeks
6. Oct. 24 — Values and Ideals in Canadian Foreign Policy
7. Oct. 31 — Topic to be chosen in first two weeks
8. Nov. 7 – The Executive and Canadian Foreign Policy
9. Nov. 14 — Topic to be chosen in first two weeks
10. Nov. 21 — Cabinet and Parliament in Canadian Foreign Policy The Populace
11. Nov. 28 — Topic to be chosen in first two weeks
12. Dec. 5 – Exam Review + Choosing topics for term 2

Term 2

13. Jan 9 — The Populace and Canadian Foreign Policy
14. Jan. 16 – Topic to be decided on Dec 5
15. Jan 23 – Summitry in Canadian Foreign Policy
16. Jan 30 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5
17. Feb. 6 - US-Canada Trade
18. Feb. 13 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5
19. Feb. 27 – Canadian Foreign Policy and Diplomacy
20. Mar. 5 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5
21. Mar. 12 - Canada, the US and Haiti
22. Mar. 19 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5
23. Mar. 26 - Canadian Foreign Policy and the Provinces
24. Apr. 2 – Topic to be decided on Dec 5
25. Apr. 9 – Exam Review

Optional Topics:

1. The History of Foreign Policy
2. Canada - Africa Relations
3. US-Canada Defence Cooperation
4. Ballistic Missile Defence
5. The Human Security Agenda
6. The Ottawa Process
7. Canada - Asia Relations
8. Canada's Role in International Financial Governance

9. Question of Arctic Sovereignty
10. **Canada and Social Reproduction**
11. **Canada and Wikileaks**
12. **Canada and Cyberdefence**
13. **Canada and Climate Change**
14. **Canada and the Oil Sands**
15. **Canada and Foreign Aid**
16. **Canada and Israel/Palestine Relations**
17. **Canada and Guantanamo Bay**
18. **Children and Militarism**
19. **Canadian Branding and Canadian Foreign Policy**
20. **Gateway Policy**
21. **Canada and WMD**
22. **Canada and the Commonwealth**
23. **Canadian Mining Companies**
24. **Canada and First Nations**
25. **Trudeau and Clark**
26. **Harper**
27. **Chretien and Martin**
28. **Axworthy**
29. **Pearson**
30. **King**

(1) Sept. 12 — Overview and Introduction – Begin Topic Selection

(2) Sept. 19 — Theories and Overviews of Canadian Foreign Policy: Choose at least one of the following readings and read the case

(L) Kim Nossal et al, "Introduction" in *International Policy and Politics in Canada*, eds. Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel, Stephane Paquin (Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011), pp.1-16.

(R) David Dewitt and John Kirton, "Three Theoretical Perspectives" in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), pp.52-75.

Case: <http://www.embassymag.ca/page/view/principle-10-20-2010>

Optional:

(C) Heather A. Smith "The Disciplining Nature of Canadian Foreign Policy" in *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010), pp.3-14.

(3) Sept. 27 – Topic to be chosen in first two weeks

(4) Oct. 3 — Gender/Hegemony in Canadian Foreign Policy: Choose at least one of the following readings on gender and one on class and read the case

(L) Hughes, V.. (2010). Women, Gender, and Canadian Foreign Policy, 1909-2009. *British Journal of Canadian Studies*, 23(2), 159-178,317. AND

(L) Mark Neufeld, "Democratization in/of Canadian Foreign Policy: Critical Reflections" in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), p.109-123.

OR

(R) Carolyn James "Civil-Military Relations and Canadian Foreign Policy: The Case of Gender Integration and the Canadian Navy" in *Handbook of Canadian Foreign Policy*, eds Patrick James, et al. (London: Lexington Books, 2007).

AND

(R) Cranford Pratt, "Dominant Class Theory and Canadian Foreign Policy: The Case of Counter Consensus" in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2007), pp.176-198

Case: <http://www.embassymag.ca/page/view/equality-05-05-2010>

Optional:

(C) Alison Howell, "The Art of Governing Trauma: treating PTSD in the Canadian Military as Foreign Policy Practice" in *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010), pp.113-125.

(5) Oct. 17 - Topic to be chosen in first two weeks

(6) Oct. 24 — Values and Ideals in Canadian Foreign Policy

(L) Stairs, Denis. "Myths, Morals, and Reality in Canadian Foreign Policy." *International Journal* 58, no. 2 (2003): 240-56.

(R) Nelson Michaud, "Soft Power and Canadian Foreign Policy-making: The Role of Values" in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), pp.433-451.

Case: <http://www.embassymag.ca.proxy2.lib.uwo.ca:2048/page/view/edit-07-20-2011>

Optional:

(C) Kim Nossal "The World we want? The Purposeful Confusion of Values, Goals and Interests in Canadian Foreign Policy" *Canadian Defense and Foreign Affairs*, 2004.

(R) J.L. Granatstein, "The Harmful Idealization of Peacekeeping" *Whose war is it*, Harpercollins: Toronto, 2007. P.17-49.

(7) Oct. 31 - Topic to be chosen in first two weeks – Optional Essay Proposal Due

(8) Nov. 7 - The Executive: Choose at least one of the following readings

(L) Kim Nossal et al, "The Prime Minister and International Policy" in *International Policy and Politics in Canada*, eds. Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel, Stephane Paquin (Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011), pp.157-176.

(R) Paul Gecelovsky, "Of Legacies and Lightning Bolts Revisited: Another look at the Prime Minister and Canadian Foreign Policy," in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), pp. 217-227.

Optional:

(C) Allan Gotleib. *Romanticism and Realism in Canada's Foreign Policy*. *Policy Options*. February 2006.

Case: <http://www.irpp.org/po/archive/mar11/troy.pdf>

(9) **Nov. 14** - Topic to be chosen in first two weeks

(10) Nov 21. – ESSAY DUE - **Cabinet and Parliament: Choose at least one of the following readings**

(L) Kim Nossal et al, "Widening the Circle: Other Ministers" in *International Policy and Politics in Canada*, eds. Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel, Stephane Paquin (Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011), pp.205-226.

(R) John English, "The Member of Parliament and Foreign Policy," in " in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), p.228-234.

Case: <http://www.embassymag.ca.proxy2.lib.uwo.ca:2048/page/view/scott-08-10-2011>

Optional:

(C) Roy Rempel, *The Chatter Box: An Insider's Account of the Irrelevance of Parliament in the Making of Canadian Foreign and Defence Policy* (Toronto: Breakout Educational Network, 2002), pp. 179-212.

(11) **Nov. 28** - Topic to be chosen in first two weeks

(12) **Dec. 5** – **Exam Review and Choosing Term 2 Topics**

(13) **Jan. 9** - **The Populace and Canadian Foreign Policy**

(L) Kim Nossal et al, "Society and International Policy" in *International Policy and Politics in Canada*, eds. Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel, Stephane Paquin (Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011), pp.84-116.

(C) Claire Turenne Sjolander and Kathryn Trevenen "Constructing Canadian Foreign Policy: Myths of Good International Citizens, Protectors and the War in Afghanistan" " in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), p.96-108.

Case: Arctic Sovereignty and the Canadian Populace - Wikileaks

Optional:

(R) Allison Van Rooy, "How Ambassadors (Should) Deal with Civil Society Organizations: A New Diplomacy," *Canadian Foreign Policy*, Vol. 7, No. 1 (Fall 1999), pp. 147-157.

(14) Jan. 16 – Topic to be decided on Dec 5

(15) Jan. 23 – **Summitry and Canadian Foreign Policy: Choose at least one of the following readings**

(L) Kim Nossal et al, "The Prime Minister and Summit Diplomacy" in *International Policy and Politics in Canada*, eds. Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel, Stephane Paquin (Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011), pp.177-204.

(R) John J. Kirton "Canada as a G8 and G20 Principle power" in Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukuca (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), p.157-174.

Case: <http://hilltimes.com.proxy2.lib.uwo.ca:2048/page/view/civcirc-06-13-2011>

Optional:

(R) John Hay, "International Summits and Civil Society," Canadian Foreign Policy, Vol. 6, No. 1 (Fall 1998), pp. 97-103.

(C) David McNally "Globalization: It's Not About Free Trade" Another World Is Possible: Globalization and Anti-Capitalism (Arbiter Ring: Toronto) 2006.

(16) Jan. 30 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5

(17) Feb. 6 – Canada-US Trade and Economic Relations

Context: Robert Wolfe, "'Canada's adventure in Clubland: Trade Clubs and Political Influence" in Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukuca (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), p.377-389.

Trade Policy is [still] Foreign Policy" but its not Sexy" in Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See US edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

(L) Robert Pastor, "A North American's View of the Old NAFTA and the New North American Agendas" in Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See US edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

(R) John McDougall, "The Origins and Nature of North American Free Trade Agreements", in Drifting Together: The Political Economy of Canada-US integration. Ch. 5

Case: <http://www.irpp.org/po/archive/mar11/emerson.pdf>

Optional:

(C) Bruce Campbell, "The North American Deep Integration Agenda: A Critical Overview" and Marc Lee and Bruce Campbell, "Deregulation and Continental regulatory Harmonization" in Bruce Campbell and Ed Finn, Living with Uncle: Canada-US relations in an age of Empire. Toronto: James Lorimer and Company, 2006.

(C) Multilevel Governance

(L) Winham, Gilbert "Canadian Trade Multilateralism: The Gatt, The Wto, And Beyond" Canadian Foreign Policy (CFP), 2010, Vol. 16 Issue 2, p125-141.

(18) Feb. 13 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5

(19) Feb. 27 – Canadian Foreign Policy and Diplomacy- Choose at least one reading

Paul Sharp. "Who Needs Diplomats: The Problem of Diplomatic Representation," International Journal, Vol. 52, No. 4 (Fall 1997), pp. 609-634. [E-Journal]

Rebecca Tiessen "Youth Ambassadors Abroad? Canadian Foreign Policy and Public Diplomacy in the Developing World" in Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010).

Samantha L. Arnold, "Home and Away: Public Diplomacy and the Canadian Self" in *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010).

Case: <http://hilltimes.com.proxy2.lib.uwo.ca:2048/page/view/mcmillan-07-18-2011>

(20) Mar. 5 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5

(21) Mar. 12 – Canada, the US and Haiti

Peter Hallward, *Damning the Flood*, New York: Verso, 2007. Chapter 3, 9 and Conclusion.

Yves Engler, *The Black Book of Canada Foreign Policy*, Red Publishing 2009. p 21-33.

Optional:

Robbie Shilliam "What the Haitian Revolution Might Tell Us About Development, Security and the Politics of Race", *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 50 (3), 2008 pp.778-808

(22) Mar. 19 - Topic to be decided on Dec 5

(23) Mar. 26 The Provinces: Choose at least one of the following readings

(L) Kim Nossal et al, "The Provinces and International Policy" in *International Policy and Politics in Canada*, eds. Kim Nossal, Stephanie Roussel, Stephane Paquin (Toronto: Pearson Canada, 2011), pp.281-303..

(R) Christopher J. Kukucha "Dismembering Canada? Stephen Harper and the Foreign relations of Canadian Provinces (" in *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, eds. Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukucha (Don Mills: Oxford University Press, 2011), p.259-276.

Case: <http://www.irpp.org/po/archive/jun11/sears.pdf>

Optional:

(C) Earl H. Fry, "Federalism and the Evolving Cross-Border Role of Provincial, State, and Municipal governments," *International Journal*, Vol. 60, No. 2 (Spring 2005), pp. 471-482.

Optional Topics:

The History of Foreign Policy

Robert Bothwell, "Foreign Affairs a Hundred Years on" *2008 Canada Among Nations 2008: 100 Years of Canadian Foreign Policy* edited by Robert Bothwell and Jean Daudelin, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2009.

Roger Sarty "The Interplay of Defense and Foreign Policy" *Canada Among Nations 2008: 100 Years of Canadian Foreign Policy* edited by Robert Bothwell and Jean Daudelin, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's Univeristy Press, 2009.

Yves Engler, *The Black Book of Canada Foreign Policy*, Red Publishing 2009. p.TBA

Canada - Africa Relations

Black, David. "Leader or Laggard? Canada's Enduring Engagement with Africa." In *Readings in Canadian Foreign Policy: Classic Debates and New Ideas*, edited by Duane Bratt and Christopher J. Kukuca. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2007.

John Schram, "Canada and Africa: Where has Canada Gone?" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See US*. edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Kwesi Botchwey, "The Canada-Africa Relationship and where it should be headed: an African perspective" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

US-Canada Defence Cooperation

Bernard J. Brister, "When Perpetuity Doesn't Mean Forever: The Approaching Demise of NORAD," *Policy Options*, Vol. 29, No. 1 (December-January 2007-2008), pp. 78-83.

Bernard Stancati, "The Future of Canada's Role in Hemispheric Defence," *Parameters: US Army War College Quarterly*, Vol. XXXVI, No. 3 (Autumn 2006), pp. 103-116.

Ballistic Missile Defence

J. Marshall Beier, "Canada: Doubting Hephaestus" *Contemporary Security Policy* Vol. 26. Issue 3. (2005).

Frank P. Harvey, "The International Politics of National Missile Defence: A Response to the Critics," *International Journal*, Vol. 55, No. 4 (Autumn 2000), pp. 545-566.

David Mutimer, "Good Grief! The Politics of Debating NMD: A Reply to Frank Harvey," *International Journal*, Vol. 56, No. 2 (Spring 2001), pp. 330-346.

Frank P. Harvey, "National Missile Defence Revisited, Again: A Reply to David Mutimer," *International Journal*, Vol. 56, No. 2 (Spring 2001), pp. 347-360.

The Human Security Agenda

The Responsibility to Protect: Report of the International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (Ottawa: International Development Research Centre, 2000), sections 1 and 2. <www.iciss.ca/report-en.asp>

Gareth Evans. "The Responsibility to Protect: An Idea Whose Time Has Come ... and Gone?" *International Relations* 22(3)(2008): 283-298.

Kyle Grayson, "Clandestine Convergence: Human Security, Power and Canadian Foreign Policy" in *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010), pp.85-98.

Bookmiller, R., & Bookmiller, K.. (2010). *Canada and the Human Security Network (1998-2010): RIP?* *British Journal of Canadian Studies*, 23(2), 247-271,317.

**APPENDIX TO UNDERGRADUATE COURSE OUTLINES
DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE**

Prerequisite checking - the student's responsibility

"Unless you have either the requisites for this course or written special permission from your Dean to enroll in it, you may be removed from this course and it will be deleted from your record. This decision may not be appealed. You will receive no adjustment to your fees in the event that you are dropped from a course for failing to have the necessary prerequisites."

Essay course requirements

With the exception of 1000-level courses, most courses in the Department of Political Science are essay courses. Total written assignments (excluding examinations) will be at least 3,000 words in Politics 1020E, at least 5,000 words in a full course numbered 2000 or above, and at least 2,500 words in a half course numbered 2000 or above.

Use of Personal Response Systems ("Clickers")

"Personal Response Systems ("clickers") may be used in some classes. If clickers are to be used in a class, it is the responsibility of the student to ensure that the device is activated and functional. Students must see their instructor if they have any concerns about whether the clicker is malfunctioning.

Students must use only their own clicker. If clicker records are used to compute a portion of the course grade:

- the use of somebody else's clicker in class constitutes a scholastic offence,
- the possession of a clicker belonging to another student will be interpreted as an attempt to commit a scholastic offence."

Security and Confidentiality of Student Work (refer to current *Western Academic Calendar* (<http://www.westerncalendar.uwo.ca/>))

"**Submitting or Returning Student Assignments, Tests and Exams** - All student assignments, tests and exams will be handled in a secure and confidential manner. Particularly in this respect, leaving student work unattended in public areas for pickup is not permitted."

Duplication of work

Undergraduate students who submit similar assignments on closely related topics in two different courses must obtain the consent of both instructors prior to the submission of the assignment. If prior approval is not obtained, each instructor reserves the right not to accept the assignment.

Grade adjustments

In order to ensure that comparable standards are applied in political science courses, the Department may require instructors to adjust final marks to conform to Departmental guidelines.

Academic Offences

"Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following Web site:

<http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/handbook/appeals/scholoff.pdf>."

Submission of Course Requirements

ESSAYS, ASSIGNMENTS, TAKE-HOME EXAMS **MUST** BE SUBMITTED ACCORDING TO PROCEDURES SPECIFIED BY YOUR INSTRUCTOR (I.E., IN CLASS, DURING OFFICE HOURS, TA'S OFFICE HOURS) OR UNDER THE INSTRUCTOR'S OFFICE DOOR.

THE MAIN OFFICE DOES NOT DATE-STAMP OR ACCEPT ANY OF THE ABOVE.

Note: Information excerpted and quoted above are Senate regulations from the Handbook of Scholarship and Academic Policy. <http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/handbook/>

Students registered in Social Science should refer to <http://counselling.ssc.uwo.ca/> <http://counselling.ssc.uwo.ca/procedures/havingproblems.asp> for information on Medical Policy, Term Tests, Final Examinations, Late Assignments, Short Absences, Extended Absences, Documentation and other Academic Concerns. Non-Social Science students should refer to their home faculty's academic counselling office.

Plagiarism

"Plagiarism: Students must write their essays and assignments in their own words. Whenever students take an idea, or a passage from another author, they must acknowledge their debt both by using quotation marks where appropriate and by proper referencing such as footnotes or citations. Plagiarism is a major academic offence." (see Scholastic Offence Policy in the Western Academic Calendar).

Plagiarism Checking: "All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (<http://www.turnitin.com>)."

Multiple-choice tests/exams: "Computer-marked multiple-choice tests and/or exams may be subject to submission for similarity review by software that will check for unusual coincidences in answer patterns that may indicate cheating."

Note: Information excerpted and quoted above are Senate regulations from the Handbook of Scholarship and Academic Policy. <http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/handbook/>

PLAGIARISM*

In writing scholarly papers, you must keep firmly in mind the need to avoid plagiarism. Plagiarism is the unacknowledged borrowing of another writer's words or ideas. Different forms of writing require different types of acknowledgement. The following rules pertain to the acknowledgements necessary in academic papers.

A. In using another writer's words, you must both place the words in quotation marks and acknowledge that the words are those of another writer.

You are plagiarizing if you use a sequence of words, a sentence or a paragraph taken from other writers without acknowledging them to be theirs. Acknowledgement is indicated either by (1) mentioning the author and work from which the words are borrowed in the text of your paper; or by (2) placing a footnote number at the end of the quotation in your text, and including a correspondingly numbered footnote at the bottom of the page (or in a separate reference section at the end of your essay). This footnote should indicate author, title of the work, place and date of publication, and page number.

Method (2) given above is usually preferable for academic essays because it provides the reader with more information about your sources and leaves your text uncluttered with parenthetical and tangential references. In either case words taken from another author must be enclosed in quotation marks or set off from your text by single spacing and indentation in such a way that they cannot be mistaken for your own words. Note that you cannot avoid indicating quotation simply by changing a word or phrase in a sentence or paragraph which is not your own.

B. In adopting other writers' ideas, you must acknowledge that they are theirs.

You are plagiarizing if you adopt, summarize, or paraphrase other writers' trains of argument, ideas or sequences of ideas without acknowledging their authorship according to the method of acknowledgement given in 'A' above. Since the words are your own, they need not be enclosed in quotation marks. Be certain, however, that the words you use are entirely your own; where you must use words or phrases from your source, these should be enclosed in quotation marks, as in 'A' above.

Clearly, it is possible for you to formulate arguments or ideas independently of another writer who has expounded the same ideas, and whom you have not read. Where you got your ideas is the important consideration here. Do not be afraid to present an argument or idea without acknowledgement to another writer, if you have arrived at it entirely independently. Acknowledge it if you have derived it from a source outside your own thinking on the subject.

In short, use of acknowledgements and, when necessary, quotation marks is necessary to distinguish clearly between what is yours and what is not. Since the rules have been explained to you, if you fail to make this distinction your instructor very likely will do so for you, and they will be forced to regard your omission as intentional literary theft. Plagiarism is a serious offence which may result in a student's receiving an 'F' in a course or, in extreme cases in their suspension from the University.

*Reprinted by permission of the Department of History

Adopted by the council of the Faculty of Social Science, October, 1970; approved by the Dept. of History August 13, 1991

Accessibility at Western

Please contact poliscie@uwo.ca if you require any information in plain text format, or if any other accommodation can make the course material and/or physical space accessible to you.

The Ottawa Process

Kitchen, Veronica. "From Rhetoric to Reality: Canada, the United States and the Ottawa Process to Ban Landmines." *International Journal* 57, no. 1 (2001-2002): 37-55.

Chapnick, Adam. "The Ottawa Process Revisited: Aggressive Unilateralism in the Post-Cold War World." *International Journal* 58, no. 3 (2003): 281-94.

Canada - Asia Relations

Paul Evans, "Asian Power Shift: Ready or Not?" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Gordon Houlden. "Canada-China Relations; Growing Connectivity and Friction" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Mei Ping. "Return to Realism and Restart the Relationship" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada "Asia Pacific Gateway" 2010 available at <http://www.asiapacific.ca/gateway> - read all sections.

Canada's Role in International Financial Governance

Eric Helliner and Bessma Momani "Canada and Global Financial Governance" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Cyrus Rustomjee, "Canada's Contribution to Global Economic and Financial Governance" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Adam Harmes, "Neoliberalism and Multilevel Governance". *Review of International Political Economy*, 13 (5). (2006).

Canada and Afghanistan

Colleen Bell "Fighting the War and Winning the Peace: three Critiques of the War in Afghanistan" in *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010), pp.58-71.

Arif Lalani, "Canada and Afghanistan; Regaining the Muscle-Memory of Leadership" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Manely panel on Afghanistan < http://icosgroup.net/modules/reports/manely_panel_analysis >

Mike Capstick, "Renewing Canada's Afghan Mission," *Policy Options*, Vol. 29, No. 4 (April 2008), pp. 22-25.

Nuclear Proliferation and Disarmament

David Mutimer "No CANDU: The Multiply-Nuclear Canadian Self" in *Canadian Foreign Policy in Critical Perspective*, eds. J. Marshall Beier and Lana Wylie (Don Mills; Oxford University Press, 2010), pp.58-71.

Ernie Regehr "Nuclear Disarmament: Building a Conducive Environment" in *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

Jayantha Dhanapala "Canada's Role in Arms Control and Disarmament" in *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.

The Question of Arctic Sovereignty

Rob Huebert, "Climate Change and Canadian Sovereignty in the Northwest Passage," *Isuma: Canadian Journal of Policy Research*, Vol. 12, No. 4 (Winter 2001), pp. 86-94.

Franklyn Griffiths, "Pathetic Fallacy: That Canada's Arctic Sovereignty is on Thinning Ice," *Canadian Foreign Policy*, Vol. 11, No. 3 (Spring 2004), pp. 1-16.

Donald McRae "Rethinking the Arctic; A New Agenda for Canada and the United States" *Canada Among Nations, 2009-2010: As Others See Us* edited by Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker, Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010.